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Abstract

Introduction:
The University of Washington School of Medicine has 6 campuses in the 5 state WWAMI (Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, Montana, and Idaho) region. The WRITE (WWAMI Rural Integrated Training Experience) program is a 22 to 24 week long rural longitudinal integrated clerkship experience offered to medical students in their clinical phase (third year) of training. This program seeks to meet the rural workforce needs of the WWAMI region by increasing the number of medical students going into primary care. Critics of LICs have expressed concern about overall quality control of the more remote educational experience and the lack of specialty specific teaching. The aim of this study was to compare medical school and PGY-1 performance of WRITE and non-WRITE students while determining how well each cohort is meeting the primary care regional workforce needs.

Methods:
The study group was all UWSOM students who matriculated from 2009 to 2013, advanced to graduation, and subsequently matched to a residency through the National Residency Match Program. WRITE and non-WRITE cohorts were compared for USMLE step 1 and 2 scores, MSPE (Medical Student Performance Evaluation) key word, and self and program director assessments in the first year of residency. The match results of the 2 cohorts were also compared to determine the proportions entering primary care residencies. Finally, for both cohorts the specialty choice at matriculation was compared with the match results. Descriptive statistics were used to test the comparisons.

Results:
The medical school performance of the WRITE and non-WRITE cohorts was equivalent in all metrics (USMLE Step 1 and 2, MSPE key word, self and program director assessment of performance in the first year of residency). WRITE students were significantly more likely to match into primary care (67.6% vs. 48.3%, p<0.001) overall and, in particular, Family Medicine as their specialty (40% vs. 14.3%, p<0.001). WRITE students were also more likely to match into the same specialty that they indicated on the UWSOM matriculation survey. For Family Medicine the loss of fidelity between matriculation and match among WRITE students was 3% (43.4 - 40.4) and among non-WRITE students, it was 6.3% (20.6 - 14.3).

Conclusions:
Performance outcomes of the WRITE program are equivalent to a traditional block curriculum. However, the WRITE cohort is significantly more likely to go into primary care fields, especially family medicine, and is more likely to stay with the declared specialty at matriculation. Medical schools that seek to increase the number of students going into primary care may benefit from adopting a similar model.

INTRODUCTION

The United States healthcare system faces a shortage of primary care physicians. This shortage is especially critical in rural communities. There are approximately 80 primary care physicians per 100,000 people in the United States; however, they are unequally distributed with 68 per 100,000 in rural areas and 84 per 100,000 in urban areas. Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, Montana, and Idaho (WWAMI) comprise 27% of the US landmass and 3.4% of the population.
accounting for some of the most rural areas in the United States. These states are all part of the WWAMI program, the University of Washington’s regional medical education partnership. Development of a strong rural physician workforce in this region is of paramount importance to the University of Washington School of Medicine (UWSOM) and its WWAMI partners in this 5 decades old regional medical education program.¹

The clinical phase of medical education should optimally allow learners to participate in a social learning process through continuous and collective activities within a community.² Training in an environment that provides students an opportunity to observe and experience relationships among physicians, patients, and members of the healthcare team encourages learners to become a member of the healthcare community.³ Longitudinal integrated clerkships (LICs) were created with continuity as a main pillar of structure. This style of clerkship allows students to stay in one place with a set of continuity patients and integration of multiple clinical specialties for extended periods of time, thus enhancing the educational experience in primary care. In contrast to the traditional block rotation curriculum, LICs seek to allow the student to master clinical competencies across multiple specialties concurrently, participate in continuity of care with a community of patients, and develop a continuous relationship with preceptors on site. Critics of medical education in a community-based setting have expressed concern about overall quality control of the more remote educational experience, including maintaining faculty development, faculty expertise, exposure to adequate patient numbers, and patient acuity.⁴⁻⁶ There is also a perception that the lack of specialty specific teaching during a longitudinal clerkship detracts from knowledge acquisition.⁷⁻⁹

Developed in 1996, the WWAMI Rural Integrated Training Experience (WRITE) is a rural LIC offered to medical students during their third year of medical school at UWSOM. The aim is to help meet the need for rural primary care physicians in the WWAMI region. Administered by the Office of Rural Programs with the support of the Regional Clinical Deans across 6 regional campuses, WRITE students participate in an integrated experience spanning 22 to 24 weeks within one assigned rural community. This rotation consists of an 18-week core curriculum, including 6 weeks of family medicine, 6 weeks of outpatient internal medicine, 3 weeks of outpatient pediatrics, 3 weeks of outpatient psychiatry, and either 6 weeks of obstetrics/gynecology or a 4-week advanced family medicine clerkship. Students spend at least one day per week in clinic with their primary care preceptor and consistent community-based patient panel. The remainder of the week is spent with preceptors within the community to achieve the required components of the other clinical disciplines. The remainder of clerkships, including inpatient internal medicine, pediatrics, and psychiatry, are done during the other half of the clinical year in tertiary care centers connected to the academic healthcare system. The surgery clerkship is not part of the WRITE curriculum and is completed anywhere in the WWAMI region during the 6-month “inpatient” clerkship block. WRITE sites are selected based on clinical services offered in the community and physician commitment to teaching. Quality of the clinical experience is maintained through a collaborative effort of involved departments, Regional Clinical Deans and the WRITE Director, and staff through regular meetings and biennial site visits.

This study reports on the academic performance of WRITE students compared to non-WRITE students who entered the UWSOM over the 5-year period from 2009 to 2013. It further examines match rates into primary care specialties between WRITE versus non-WRITE students. The results of this study contribute to an ongoing vigorous discussion of the role of LICs in medical education, their academic integrity, and their ability to meet stated workforce objectives.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

This retrospective cohort study analyzed data from all UWSOM students who matriculated from 2009 to 2013, advanced to graduation, and subsequently matched to a residency through the National Residency Match Program (NRMP). The total number of students in the study group who completed the WRITE program was 99, referred to as WRITE students. There were 1016 UWSOM students meeting the selection criteria who did not complete WRITE, referred to as non-WRITE students.

**Setting**

At the time of the study, UWSOM was the only allopathic medical school in the 5 state WWAMI (Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, Montana, and Idaho) region. WWAMI has 6 regional campuses (Seattle, Spokane, Anchorage, Bozeman, Moscow, Laramie) in 5 states. UWSOM has 100+ decentralized clinical training sites in the region. UWSOM’s mission, in part, is to provide for the physician workforce needs in this rural region. Students complete the third year required clerkships either in traditional block format (majority of students) or in the longitudinal integrated clerkship model in the WRITE program. WRITE clinical sites are all in rural areas of the WWAMI region and administered, in part, by regional campus assistant deans. Students interested in WRITE participation apply to the program during their MS2 year and are interviewed by WRITE leaders for admission to the program. WRITE candidates are interviewed and selection is based on interest in a rural experience and good academic standing. All UWSOM students take USMLE Step 1 before their clerkship experience, then take Step 2 after their core clinical clerkships, including WRITE.

**Data sources**
De-identified student data on academic parameters, NRMP match specialty, and specialty interests at matriculation were obtained from the University of Washington Division of Medical Education and Evaluation for the entering year (EY) classes of 2009 to EY 2013 (5-year period). The Division of Medical Education contacts graduates and their residency program directors 6 months into the PGY1 year to assess graduate performance as a resident compared to peers. Parallel questions are asked of the graduate and the residency program director. Written consent by the graduate is required to contact residency program directors. Surveys are sent by mail to the graduate in care of the residency program into which they matched. Response rates during the years studies vary highly variable for the student survey as well as for the Directors survey. The variability is likely because our school requires student consent before these surveys are administered.

**Analysis**

Differences between WRITE and non-WRITE students with respect to academic performance and specialty selection were examined. Measures were selected to be considered as important milestones and evidence of overall performance in medical school. Parameters utilized included comparison of USMLE Step 1 scores, USMLE Step 2 scores, and Medical Student Performance Evaluation (MSPE) key words (good, very good, excellent, outstanding) as metrics of overall performance in medical school. The MSPE keyword is a summative of the medical student performance and shows where each student ranks in comparison to their peers. To assess preparedness for residency, PGY-1 self and program director assessments were compared.

The specialty match results of WRITE and non-WRITE students were compared, and specialties were divided into primary care and non-primary care according to the AAMC’s definition of Family Medicine, Internal Medicine, Pediatrics, and Med/Peds. The match rates of individual primary care specialties were then compared. WRITE and non-WRITE student indicated specialty choice at matriculation and this was compared with actual specialty choice at match. The WRITE and non-WRITE comparisons were made as a cohort for all categories except for the USMLE Step 1 and 2 scores. This was necessary because of the large variation in year to year USMLE mean scores. Descriptive statistics were calculated for all comparisons. We calculated the mean, standard deviation, and P scores using the paired t-test. The University of Washington Human Subjects Division determined this study not to be Human Subject Research.

**RESULTS**

WRITE students performed at an equivalent level to students in the traditional curriculum (non-WRITE students). No statistically significant differences between WRITE and non-WRITE students were found in USMLE Step 1, USMLE Step 2, MSPE key word, self-assessed performance in PGY-1 year, or residency Program Director assessed performance during PGY-1 year (Tables 1-2, Figures 1-2).

### Table 1: Comparison of Medical Student Performance Evolution (MSPE) Keywords between WRITE and non-WRITE Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSPE Keyword</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRITE Students</td>
<td>11 (11.1%)</td>
<td>32 (32.3%)</td>
<td>31 (31.3%)</td>
<td>25 (25.3%)</td>
<td>99 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-WRITE Students</td>
<td>111 (10.9%)</td>
<td>317 (31.2%)</td>
<td>312 (30.7%)</td>
<td>276 (27.2%)</td>
<td>1016 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no statistically significant difference between WRITE and non-WRITE groups with respect to MSPE keywords. Chi-square is .754, degrees of freedom = 3 and p-value = .86

### Table 2: Comparison of USMLE Step 1 and 2 performances between WRITE and non-WRITE Students by entering class cohorts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1*</th>
<th>Step 2 CK*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Score</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2009 WRITE</td>
<td>214.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2009 Non-WRITE</td>
<td>225.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2010 WRITE</td>
<td>227.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2010 Non-WRITE</td>
<td>227.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2011 WRITE</td>
<td>218.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2011 Non-WRITE</td>
<td>217.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2012 WRITE</td>
<td>228.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2012 Non-WRITE</td>
<td>232.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2013 WRITE</td>
<td>228.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2013 Non-WRITE</td>
<td>228.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no statistically significant difference between WRITE and non-WRITE groups with respect to Step 1 and Step 2 CK scores.
WRITE students were significantly more likely to choose primary care (67.6% vs 48.3%, p<0.001) overall and, in particular, Family Medicine as their specialty (40% vs 14.3%, p<0.001) (Figure 3). WRITE students were also more likely to match into the same specialty that they indicated on the survey done at matriculation. For Family Medicine the loss of fidelity between matriculation and match among WRITE students was 3% (43.4 - 40.4) and among non-WRITE students, it was 6.3% (20.6 - 14.3). For total primary care the loss of fidelity between matriculation and match among WRITE students was 3.7% (71.3 - 67.6) and among non-WRITE students, it was a gain of 2.9% (45.4 - 48.3).

DISCUSSION

In this study, it was found that students who participated in the WRITE program performed in medical school and in PGY-1 at an equivalent level to that of the students following the traditional curriculum (non-WRITE students). MSPE key word distribution (key summary metric of medical school performance), USMLE Step 1 and Step 2 performance and the PGY-1 self and residency program director assessment were considered. While overall performance was the same, the WRITE students were much more likely to choose primary care overall and, in particular, Family Medicine as their specialty. Smaller positive trends were also present in Internal Medicine and Pediatrics specialty choice among the WRITE students but were not statistically significant. Furthermore, WRITE students who chose family medicine as a specialty choice at matriculation were more likely to match in family medicine than non-WRITE students who chose family medicine at matriculation.

WWAMI is a 5-state area encompassing over one million square miles. Except for in Washington, about 50% of the WWAMI population live in a non-metro area. It has been estimated that by 2035 the U.S. needs 33,000 additional Primary Care Physicians to meet the needs of the growing and aging population.10 This gap can only be closed by increasing the number of medical students matching into primary care specialties.11 In 2010, Washington ranked number 17 for number of active physicians per 100,000 population with Wyoming at 47th Alaska at 26th, Montana at 32nd and Idaho at 49th.12 As additional states within WWAMI expand Medicaid access under the Affordable Care Act, it is expected that workforce needs will be further aggravated.13

In general, educational and admissions interventions that have been shown to be effective in attracting medical students to primary care and Family Medicine include: targeted admissions, supportive longitudinal curricula, and exposure to positive role models. The WRITE program is a
longitudinal program that exposes students to rural primary
care clinicians as role models and has been previously
described.14

Previous studies of LICs have largely compared program
structure. Other studies have compared academic
performance outcomes, such as Step scores and NBME
exams. This research demonstrates similar or better
performance among longitudinal students compared to
traditional block students.8, 15-21 Studies of LICs that have
examined workforce outcomes have previously shown
graduates are more likely to practice primary care.20, 22-24 Our
findings are consistent with these previous studies of primary
care-focused longitudinal clerkships and highlight the
importance of such programs to address workforce goals.

There are multiple strengths to this study. Data was
examined over a 5-year period and directly compared our
study group to an appropriate control group. Multiple
commonly accepted variables used to evaluate student
performance were also examined. This study has limitations
as well. It was performed at one institution with a unique
structure and a stated interest in meeting a rural workforce
needs. Students self-select to participate in the WRITE
program and may already be inclined to match into primary
care and family medicine. Our workforce results may be
influenced by disproportional exposure among WRITE
students to primary care preceptors during clinical clerkships.
The results show an association between WRITE participation
and Family Medicine match, but the study is not designed to
show causality. The Post Graduate Survey (PGY) response
rate is variable and frequently low. However, this is the best
available data to measure PGY performance.

Conclusion

This study confirms that the educational outcomes of the
WRITE program are similar to a traditional block curriculum.
While performance measures between WRITE and non-
WRITE students were similar, WRITE students selected
primary care specialties, especially Family Medicine, at higher
rates than non-WRITE students, thus addressing the
workforce needs of the rural WWAMI region. These results
are important to medical educators and workforce policy
makers and contribute to the growing body of literature
supporting LICs as both a quality educational experience and
a potential solution to specific workforce needs.
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